Application No.: 10/595809 Amendment Dated: June 4, 2008 Reply to Office action of: May 12, 2008

<u>REMARKS</u>

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given

the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the

Office action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe

the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the Office action, the Examiner has determined that claims directed toward

two separately patentable inventions are presented in the present application, and

has required applicant to elect a single invention for prosecution on the merits. The

inventions identified by the Examiner are:

Group I:

Claims 1-10 and

Group II

Claims 11-12.

In response to the restriction/election requirement, applicant hereby elects,

without traverse, Group I, claims 1-10.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please

charge same to our Deposit Account No. 18-0160, our Order No. NIS-16657.

Respectfully submitted,

RANKIN, HILL & CLARK LLP

By /David E. Spaw/

David E. Spaw, Reg. No. 34732

38210 Glenn Avenue Willoughby, Ohio 44094-7808 (216) 566-9700

Page 7 of 7